

**Annual General Meeting of  
STANLEY & DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES**

Stanley Village Hall on 21 October 2024 at 19:00.

1. Recording of membership present and any apologies

Present:

Neil Hardy, Suzanne Tattersall, Katherine Rix, Jackie McLeod, Joyce Jamieson, Fiona Hepburn, John Graham

Councillor Ian James

Apologies:

Ivan Carroll, Claire McLaren, Grant Laing

2. Presentation of minutes from previous AGM

None to present.

3. Chairperson's Annual Report – see appendix 1

NH presented his report to the floor.

Questions from the floor

There were no questions for the Chairperson.

4. Secretary's Annual Report – see appendix 2

ST presented her report to the floor.

Questions from the floor

There were no questions for the secretary

5. Treasurer's submission of Balance Sheet and Annual Accounts

KR summarised the accounts and purpose of funding from PKC for admin/running costs.

See appendix 3 – Treasurer's annual report.

Questions from the floor – There were no questions from the floor but some useful contributions re: subscriptions for zoom/teams which may enable some people to engage with SDCC online if they are unable to meet in person.

Zoom subscriptions – a member of the public advised that SDCC may be able to get this for free.

SDCC should also be able to get a Teams licence for free.

Accounts signed by Neil Hardy and Katherine Rix.

7. Demit of current Office Bearers

NH has received resignations from IC (Vice-chair), KR (Treasurer) and ST (Secretary), along with demitting from his post as chair.

IJ commented that in the first 6 months of the CC being formed, it has been great to see support and engagement from villagers. He expressed that it is great to see the professional way this particular community council is run and the achievements which have already been made for the community.

8. Election of Office Bearers

Chair Proposed NH JMcl Seconded JMcl

Vice Chair IC Proposed NH Seconded FH

Secretary ST Proposed FH Seconded JG

Treasurer KR Proposed JJ Seconded FH

9. Date of next AGM

Monday 27 October 2025

## Appendix 1

### **Chairpersons reports for the 2024 Annual General Meeting of STANLEY & DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

2024 has saw the re-forming of the community council with all 8 vacancies taken up and still thankfully being held.

To say this has been a learning curve for each member of the Council is perhaps an understatement, for many of us these roles have presented us with an opportunity for new learning and experiences. I have been pleased to see the support and assistance that everyone within the Community Council has and continues to offer each other.

Initial attendance at our EGM back in May was small, however attendance since has continued to grow, and I am grateful that we now see what I consider to be impressive attendance figures at our monthly meetings. We continue to use as many options as we can to publicise our work including notice boards, websites and social media and good old word of mouth. It would appear that these are being reasonably successful as we have many followers and many contacting us for a wide range of issues that we try to advise on, or even take up on their behalf if there is a wider community benefit.

I am very pleased to be able to report back on some achievements by various members of the Community Council and these include:

Improvements to the road markings on the bends approaching Stanley from the South – I am looking forward to further results being achieved by both Catherine and Fiona working with PKC roads department including a review of dropped curb placement a review of double yellow line markings at road ends and the carrying out of road traffic surveys at the south and north of the village;

With regards to planning we have both approved and objected to a number of applications on behalf of the Community with the latest being that of the proposed access road to Active Kids Adventure Park that was withdrawn from application after 19 objections including our own were lodged.

Working with both PKC Councillors, Planning Department and Roads Department the initial teething problems experienced surrounding the Ogilvie homes development seems to have

settled and to date since the start of the housebuilding phase there have been little or no reports of concerns regarding traffic to or from the site.

Ivan Carroll our vice chair has very ably taken on handling the emergency and contingency plans for Stanley and they are moving at a pace however there is still considerable work to go. Myself and Ivan are due to meet with PKC civil contingencies towards the end of November to discuss partnership working to further these plans. We already have two volunteers from within the community council's own numbers who are willing to assist with the emergency plan and associated roles but Ivan will in due course be looking to bolster these numbers with further volunteers with the appropriate experience and willingness to help.

One of the main ongoing issues that we have being involved with is the surface water flooding to the rear of Manse Crescent. We have been lucky enough to be able to engage with our local MSP, Mr. John Swinney, in the hopes that he may be able to encourage engagement from both the landowner and any other appropriate agencies. I know this may not be a very rapid development however I hope that should the need arise we can seek assistance from PKC as appropriate should the flooding pose a danger to property over the coming winter.

Although we cannot claim directly as one of our own successes we have seen work take place on the West Tofts railway bridge in an attempt to reinforce the kerbing, however unfortunately it does appear that this has already been damaged. It may be that the durability of it needs to be questioned sooner rather than later. I would hope that we can persuade either PKC or National Rail to undertake a more comprehensive review of works required on the bridge to ensure that it does not deteriorate to the same levels as previously seen and that more appropriate works can be scheduled to take place as soon as possible. This a complex issue when it comes to ownership of the problem and one that will, I have no doubt, take some considerable degree of politics and negotiation/PKC have carried the burden for this once and it would be unfair to expect they do so again without further discussion.

Looking ahead there are a number of ongoing issues that the Community Council continues to be invested in and which we will continue to update you on at each monthly meeting. These include but are not limited to the future of the former Stanley parish church and the clock contained within its tower; the ongoing areas marked for development around the village that are yet to be commenced; the ongoing concerns regarding capacity for water drainage and treatment for Stanley and the immediately surrounding area and hoped for continuing improvements in the roads around Stanley to offer a safe environment for users on and by the road.

I know that Suzanne is looking forward to establishing a fruitful working partnership with Police Scotland and I am confident that by establishing a beneficial two way relationship with Mark and his colleagues she will be able to contribute towards Stanley becoming and remaining a safe place in which to be for everyone.

At the very start of our meetings we discussed whether we would become a fund raising and fund holding style of Community Council or whether we would allow the Stanley development trust to take the lead in this due to their number of years experience over us in this field. While this may predominantly continue to be the case members of the Community Council have already identified a number of small projects in which we feel we would like to become involved and ones where perhaps we become the catalyst for launching in Stanley before handing them over to others to manage; I know that discussions have already taken place today in relation to one of these ideas working in partnership with St. Columba's.

My report may seem brief and I'm sure that many of you who have attended our meetings will have notice that I've probably left quite a bit out however my intention is not to reinvent the wheel and regurgitate absolutely everything that we have been involved in over the last six months. I hope instead I've managed to highlight some of the important areas we have been involved with.

On a personal note I'd like to thank the other seven members of the Community Council for being brave enough to put their heads above the parapet and take on this voluntary role. When you try to start something like this up you never know what take-up you will get – thankfully I was not left embarrassed and I thank each of you for sticking with it!

Very early on we agreed to an ethos of shared workload and have so far have managed to stick to this, and members have been able to take on a lead in areas that interest them, such as road safety and planning. There was an excellent demonstration of teamwork and support when working on putting together our objection to the Active Kids Access Road through a variety of informal chats conversations and video meetings where we put together an entire package ready to present in front of the planning committee. As we now know this was not required as the application withdrawn, however this does not in any way take anything away from the amount of work that was put in by all members of the Community Council to put together that document.

I would also like to thank our Ward Councillors Claire, Grant and Iain for their continued support, advice and words of wisdom and I hope that we can look forward to continuing to be able to call on those pearls of wisdom over the coming year. At this point I'd also like to thank the community council team at Perth and Kinross Council as they were instrumental in helping us form and have continued to be an amazing source of information and support as we work our way through understanding our remit and the expectations placed upon us by both local and national government.

It just leaves me to thank you all for attending as usual, although don't get up and leave yet 'cause there's still a long way to go for both the AGM and our monthly meeting! However without your attendance these meetings would be very boring, although probably very quick, and having the attendance numbers that we do just confirms the level of interest within the village in engaging with our local authority and other agencies and having a voice when decisions are made about our Community.



Neil Hardy  
Chair - Stanley Community Council

21 October 2024

## Appendix 2

### **Secretary Report for the AGM of Stanley and District Community Council on 21 October 2024**

Stanley and District Community Council is in its infancy since being re-established with effect from 4 April 2024. We were lucky to have 8 valid nominations for the 8 vacancies, which enabled us to set up a well-resourced community council, with a full cohort of volunteers.

Our first piece of business was to arrange an extra-ordinary general meeting (EGM) which took place on 13 May 2024 where office bearers were duly appointed:

Chairperson: Neil Hardy

Vice Chair Person: Ivan Carroll

Secretary: Suzanne Tattersall

Treasurer: Katherine Rix

In addition to the roles above, Jackie McLeod took on the role of Data Controller.

John Graham has also taken on a lead role in relation to planning applications.

We have been delighted to see a good turn out at all our public meetings. We appreciate that due to the positive engagement from the community, the current venue has been tight for space at times. We have explored alternative days and venues but so far, we have been unable to identify anywhere more suitable within the village. We will keep this under review and recognise that we may need to make alternative arrangements in the future if the small meeting room within the Village Hall cannot accommodate the number of attendees. It is encouraging that the community are interested in engaging with the community council.

Over the next year, I would like to see the community council continuing to engage with the local community and to come up with ideas to engage with a wider range of people within the community, including harder to reach individuals, including young people, older people and vulnerable groups. We have predominantly used Facebook as a platform to engage with the public but recognise that this is not accessed by all and that we need to consider ways to engage with members of the community who do not access social media. Neil Hardy has recently set up a Website for the Community Council where individuals can access agendas, minutes of meetings and any relevant information. We continue to use Facebook to keep the community informed of any information which may impact on the village.

Stanley Development Trust approached the Community Council in July 2024 requesting support to lobby counsellors to overturn the decision to reject planning permission for the

proposed Community Hub. Community councillors sought the views of the local community and reported the findings back to the Stanley Development Trust so they could use this in support of their work. The poll generated 171 responses with 165 of these being in support of the community hub. The Local Review body overturned the previous decision to reject planning permission for the Community Hub. Although there are still barriers, it is encouraging that the Local Body Review Body took into consideration the strong community support for the project and recognised the benefits this could bring to the local community.

The community council have re-formed at a time of significant change for the village with two new housing developments currently under construction. The community council have engaged with ward councillors to share concerns raised by the wider community around road safety and concerns regarding site traffic during the early stages of the Oglivie development.

Road Safety has been a priority for the community council. Consultation with the community highlighted concerns including speeding, dangerous parking, safety at the school, Linn and Airtully Road being dangerous to walk along and parking over dropped kerbs. Community councillors (Katherine Rix and Fiona Hepburn) have worked closely with Matt Welsh (Traffic and Road Safety, Perth and Kinross Council) and Claire McLaren (Ward Councillor). This has been a proactive piece of work which has resulted in a traffic survey to assess the extent of speeding in the village and the volume/types of traffic passing through (which will be repeated after the Cross Tay Link Road opens), vegetation being cut back to make signage more clear and new chevron signs at the bad bends coming into/out of the village. Matt Walsh has also agreed to measure up the dropped kerbs, noting some are too high and many are not aligned at both sides of the road.

Joyce Jamieson has worked hard to address issues in relation to the Kinclaven Bridge and despite a number of challenges along the way, managed to get much needed repairs undertaken.

Neil Hardy and Suzanne Tattersall met with John Swinney (in his capacity as MSP) on 27 September 2024 to raise concerns on behalf of residents in relation to flooding around Manse Crescent. During this meeting, we also raised concerns regarding cracks emerging in the gardens of properties along the B9099 and shared concerns about the wider concerns in relation to the implications of further landslips for these properties and also the road in and out of the village.

The community council have been keen to develop links with the local police officers and have been in contact with PC Mark Pebbles who is keen to come along to community council meetings and/or to meet with community councillors. PC Pebbles has been proactive at maintaining contact with community councillors but unfortunately, as is the nature of police



work, incidents have occurred which have led to officers being diverted when they have tried to engage with SDCC meetings or meet with community councillors. This is something we will endeavour to follow up and community councillors will maintain open channels of communication with local officers and feedback any community concerns to them.

Our vice-chair, Ivan Carroll has been busy behind the scenes working on creating a resilience group and updating the community emergency plan. Over the next year, I am sure he will be looking for volunteer recruits to be part of this important plan.

This is a brief summary of some of the work the community council have been involved in since it was re-established. The community council have had time to form as a group and learn a bit more about one another and how we work together as a group.

We have established positive working relationships with each other, set up regular public meetings, have had some opportunities to seek/encourage community feedback on specific issues and have started building wider networks. Our bank account is now up and running and we have managed to purchase a laptop and stationary to help with the day to day running of the community council. It has been a sharp learning experience for us all and a busy 6 months for SDCC but also rewarding to look back at all the things that have already been achieved. As secretary, I hope we can build on the strong start we have made, can access some additional training and develop the community council to best serve the needs of the local community.

Suzanne Tattersall

Secretary SDCC

## Appendix 3

### **Treasurer's Report for the AGM of Stanley and District Community Council on 21 October 2024**

When the Community Council was reformed, we were aware of the previous Community Council still having an active bank account with The Royal Bank of Scotland. Having used them in other roles, I knew it could be difficult and time consuming trying to have the account details and signatories changed. Given this, we took the decision to look to open a new bank account and have the previous Community Council sign over a cheque for any remaining balance.

When looking for a business bank account, I wanted to ensure we did not have to pay a monthly fee, which a lot seemed to have. We are only given a limited budget each year and I didn't want to be paying out a lot of money for an account that wasn't going to have a lot of transactions. Especially, as this having been our first financial year I wasn't sure what financial commitments we would incur.

I arranged for a Community bank account to be opened with Natwest. This type of account is only available to people who already had banking with Natwest and I did. The signatories have been set up as Neil Hardy, Ivan Carroll, Suzanne Tattersall and myself, Katherine Rix.

As we were reinstated after the start of the financial year our pro rata budget was £276.66.

The money allowed us to purchase a laptop and stationary for the benefit of the Community Council. Which as of the 31 August 2024, the end of the financial year left us with a balance to be carried forward of £12.13.

Going forward into the next financial year, given the larger than expected turnouts at our meetings, we may need to look at other premises to hold our meetings which will impact on the budget. We also want to look at a way of reaching more members who might not be able to join our visits in person. This could be done looking at the cost implications and practicality of using Zoom, Teams or some other platforms depending on subscription costs.

The biggest cost to us, I believe will be printing costs. In order to keep printing costs down we have agreed to use A5 paper for our agendas for each meeting and A4 recycled paper for copies of our minutes from previous meetings. We have also chosen a yellow coloured paper to print off details of our meeting to place in our noticeboard to ensure it stands out and people are aware of our meeting.

Kathryn Rix (Treasurer)

STANLEY AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES

Stanley Village Hall on 21 October 2024 at 19:00

**Present:** Neil Hardy, Suzanne Tattersall, Katherine Rix, Jackie McLeod, Joyce Jamieson, Fiona Hepburn, John Graham

**In attendance:** Ian James

1. Apologies:

Ivan Carroll, Claire McLaren, Grant Laing

2. Minutes of previous meeting:

Proposed FH, Seconded NH

3. Matters arising

Residents of Duchess Street felt the previous minutes were not reflective of the issues they brought to the last meeting. They felt the concerns they brought were dismissed, did not represent the concerns about the safety of people on Duchess Street and did not reference Claire stating there was no money to address the concerns.

It was noted that the 20mph speed limit has been introduced in other parts of Scotland and they had additional traffic calming measures.

They acknowledged that there have been some important developments on the road but there continue to be no white lines. They reported that there is no room for buses to pass each other.

They shared concerns about tankers and other vehicles coming into the village via Duchess street. They noted the positioning of the 30 mph sign is now at the Duchess Street side of the bridge when this was previously before Active Kids. They shared concerns about safety when caregivers and children are walking to Active Kids given

there is no pavement over the bridge. They feel strongly that the 30mph sign being re-positioned would make the road safer for pedestrians.

NH highlighted the importance of individual responsibility.

FH advised that the community council need to wait until the works being undertaken are completed before we can raise further issues. She expressed that we need time to take things forward.

Residents advised that they had been given reassurance previously that calming measures will be put in place. NH highlighted difficulties in putting road calming due to driveways, dropped kerbs etc.

JG asked What would you like done?

Residents from Duchess Street feel that having 30mph signs in the right place would reduce the risk. It was highlighted by IJ that PKC have teams of road safety people with years of experience/training in this area. There are people throughout Perth and Kinross making similar requests. There are costs associated with this and limited funds available.

#### 4. Police

Welcome to Mark Peebles who is one of the Local Community Police officers. Mark explained that he covers the entirety of North Perthshire and explained that they try to service all the communities and community councils as best as they can. However, response colleagues also often need us to assist and we are diverted.

He noted that the Oglivie site was a pressing issue for the community and advised that they have undertaken a couple of site visits and have spoken to the site manager and PKC. Issues appear to have been addressed at this time.

Stanley primary vandalism. Youths have been involved in vandalism within the grounds of the school causing £1300 of damage. Those responsible have been identified and referred to youth justice assessor.

Church – Youths are gaining access and concern about risk them due to this being an unsafe building. Police have only been requested to attend on one occasion. They have requested that the owners secure the building.

Road Traffic - 15 road traffic matters have been reported to police. These are mostly in relation to the A9. There have been no specific incidents reported in relation to speeding in the village. Mark advised that he would be happy to come out with the speed gun at identified times/areas. NH and ST have email address. Mark clarified that he is happy for his email to be distributed to everyone and included in the minutes so members of the public can contact him directly. His email address is [mark.peebles@scotland.police.uk](mailto:mark.peebles@scotland.police.uk).

Mark was asked for a view from a police perspective in relation to the road signage on the railbridge by Active Kids. Mark advised that if someone is going to take the corner at speed, no signage will stop this. He advised that this would need to be addressed with the planning department at PKC and concerns need to come from the community, this cannot come from Police Scotland. He advised that decisions are statistically driven and Police Scotland do share information with the planning department.

Residents in Duchess Street reported that the speed limit sign has been knocked down. They were asked if they had reported this to the Roads Department. They advised that they were reporting this to the Community Council. Advice provided that they are able to report this via the PKC website.

Mark advised that the work on the road does not appear to be completed, he saw Tayside Contracts working on the road last week. He advised that road standards are specific to the type of road.

There have been a couple of incidents in the last couple of years. There was an incident with an oil tanker and also a car that crashed into someone's wall in the last year and a half.

It was questioned whether getting a 30mph sign on the other side of the road, is doable? Stanley is getting work done on the roads. It was agreed that the community council will contact Mark Walsh.

E-bikes – Police are doing a lot of work to identify those using bikes. These bikes are not road worthy, it is not illegal to own these but it is illegal to drive them on public roads. The challenge for Police is that those using the bikes illegally are wearing face coverings. It is difficult to undertake a safe pursuit of these individuals. Police are asking members of public to report any concerns about E-bikes directly to the police.

Mark Peebles advised that he could provide the community council with a Cardboard Policeman. He advised that statistics tell us that these do reduce speeding. This will have chain and it can be moved around the village.

Mark Peebles has also had a meeting with Headteacher at Stanley Primary school about doing some Road Safety topics with the children.

5. Correspondence – Nothing to report

6. Ongoing issues

a. 'old' Stanley Church disposal & historic clock

There is another Auction on Thursday. Offers over £55,000.

b. Manse Crescent

No update. Flood photos – some members of the community council have photos of flooding around Manse Crescent. These will be sent over to ST who will forward these to JS's office.

7. WSWG update

Elsbeth advised that she is going to focus on Wildwood update this evening. There was a 2:1 preference for community ownership from the recent consultation. The proposal is for a partnership between Tayside Woodland Partnership and West Stormont Woodland Group. Elsbeth clarified that there is further discussion to be had on all level of the process towards achieving the best community outcome.

Tayside Woodland Partnership were unable to attend but asked WSWG to read out a statement on their behalf:

**Statement from TWP for SCC Meeting 21 October 2024**

Tayside Woodland Partnerships (TWP), as the name suggests, works together with other organisations to further its aims of creating new areas of woodland and maintaining existing woodland for the benefit of trees, wildlife and people. It is a registered charity (SC051345) and has been in existence for over 3 years. In that time, it has entered into formal lease agreements with two landowners to create and manage new woodland and is in process of entering a third agreement. Existing woods are in the Spittalfield, Coupar Angus and Pitlochry areas.

TWP's objects are:

1. To establish and manage new woodlands and to acquire and, in partnerships, manage established ones in Tayside in order to:
  - a) sequester carbon dioxide to offset emissions as a contribution to achieving the national target of zero net emissions by 2045. (As part of this, work with small businesses to enable them to offset their carbon emissions),
  - b) enhance biodiversity,
  - c) improve the landscape ecology,
  - d) where relevant, to create natural flood defences
  - e) provide opportunities for enjoyment of the countryside for participants
  
2. To connect with other groups, communities and landowners across Tayside and beyond to form a network in which each can give and receive support, information, advice and collective strength from each other.

In the past two years, TWP has been in discussion with West Stormont Woodland Group (WSWG) to explore ways in which the two organisations can collaborate. TWP brings woodland expertise and as a registered charity is able to attract funding.

WSWG, which is also a SCIO, is a group which is local to Stanley and has a particular interest in managing Taymount and Five Mile Woods for nature recovery and climate as well as an amenity for the community, but in relation to the Rookery, its aim (supported by TWP) is to preserve a wood which is rich in biodiversity as part of the Stanley Biodiversity Village Project.

At this stage, TWP intends to ask PKC whether a risk assessment has been carried out and, if not, to request this to be done. Provided this assessment does not highlight unresolved risk to adjacent property or to traffic and people using the road or paths, TWP intends to negotiate with PKC to take over the lease of the land or possibly even buy it. Every step will be taken jointly with WSWG and it will be WSWG which will be responsible for managing the wood with the local community.

Len Seal (Chair, TWP)

Elspeth Coutts provided the following update from WSWG:

**Statement from WSWG for SCC Meeting 21 October 2024**

For the past six years, the WSWG project to bring Taymount and Five Mile Woods into community ownership has operated under the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 and a wider landscape scale context for our local patch “Beyond the Woods”, which we refer to as West Stormont Connect. This is where our particular interest lies in the future of Stanley Wildwood as a potential project under the Stanley Biodiversity Village project, itself part of a regional initiative by the Tayside Biodiversity Partnership.

We feel the dual partnership approach between WSWG and TWP will offer long term resilience, for example such that the remaining group can pick up the pieces if the other group ceases to operate.

Here is an outline of what we think comes next.

1. An initial inspection by TWP’s arboriculturist and woodland ecologist has flagged up potential issues that may need addressing, hence TWP’s intention to ask PKC for a risk assessment for the woodland.
2. Once the risk assessment is available, we would envisage holding an initial public meeting to discuss the way forward, create a decision tree and put together a small Rookery working group from the community.
3. After that, finalise the EOI, taking account of Sarah Kimmett and Ashley Peace comments, and sign-off by TWP (or WSWG) for submission.
4. Transition initial ideas into a draft Woodland Management Plan - short and long term, with outline costings.
5. Make an outline plan for community engagement and fundraising pre-ownership.
6. Make an outline plan for community engagement, benefits and funding post-ownership.
7. Write up an outline governance paper including TWP/WSWG roles and responsibilities (our joint MO)
8. Propose a timeline to acquisition or lease.



9. Ongoing and final community consultation on proposal before offer.

Elspeth Coutts

WSWG Trustee

21 October 2024

A local resident expressed that PKC took on wood a number of years and his view is that they did not manage the woodland well. He feels strongly that the community council should be giving a view that the Woodland should be leased rather than sold and that there should be conditions attached to the lease. It was discussed that it is fortunate that WSWG are willing to take the Woodland on as either a sale or a lease. WSWG clarified that they could not pass it on to someone who was not a community based charity. It was discussed that community ownership is not common practice in Mainland Scotland.

Stanley and District Community Council can help publicise any meetings and use social media platforms to spread the word about the work WSWG are undertaking..

8. Road traffic

Already discussed.

9. Emergency plan – No further update. IC will be looking for volunteers.

NH has secured funding for a second defibrillator. The money has been authorised to be released so should be in the bank account this week.

IJ asked about the ongoing costs for these. NH advised that the battery should last around 5 years (£300 to replace) and the pads need replaced every 2 years (£70 to replace). NH advised that the guidance is that there should be one defibrillator per 200 metre radius.

11. Planning

Internal alterations to flat in the mill – no objections.

In depth discussion about planning application

JG has met with Martin Jeffery to discuss resident's concerns about the application and has read through the 14 objections online.

It was noted that there are 14 objections so this will go to the planning committee.

Concerns include:

Although the planning application is for two dwelling houses, residents are concerned about intentions of the applicant. In 2009, the applicant had putting in an application of 10 houses. Residents are concerned that although this application was rejected, the applicant may attempt to achieve this scale of development via a series of smaller planning applications.

The area is not an in-fill site.

Road not suitable for numerous new housing.

Concerns that planning application states part 1 – we don't have insight into the plans.

Residents asked if the community council would support an objection to the proposed plans and asked if this would support their case. It was confirmed that support from the community council can support their case.

A vote was put to the floor and the majority of attendees voted for the community council to put in a letter of objection in response to the aforementioned planning application. There were no objections to the proposed action.

CC proper objectional points to Planning, CC put this in, it will go to committee.

IJ advised that he could forward some documents to the Community Council email address which may be helpful:

Local Development Planning Guidance

Housing in the countryside

JJ and JG attended meeting with planning permission

Water treatment will be part of this also.

JJ has access to historic maps/overlays for old/current map and will share information regarding this.

Change of agricultural land requires planning permission which has not been applied for.

12. AOCB – None

Next meeting is on 25 November 2024, noting that this is the last meeting of the year.